

From: [M.ROBERTS-EFILEBOX](#)
To:

Redacted

Cc:

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Velva B. (McConnell) vs. USPS
Date: Monday, April 29, 2019 9:37:44 AM

Parties,

So that the record is clear, on Wednesday April 24, 2019 I received an email from Agency Counsel requesting that the telephone conference originally scheduled for Monday, April 29, 2019, at 2:00pm be rescheduled as she had a family emergency and would be unable to attend the telephone conference. I did not respond to that request as I assumed it was sent to everyone and wanted to give the parties an opportunity to object or concur. On Friday, Agency Counsel sent another request for an adjournment and included dates counsel would be available; I responded to that email, granted counsel's request and outlined dates I would be available in May. My assumption was that these emails were sent to everyone. Obviously, they were not.

The telephone conference scheduled **for today Monday April 29, 2019 at 2pm is CANCELLED**. In my prior email, I told counsel I would be available on MAY 13, 2019 believing she would bring this date to opposing counsel (s), get back to me and I would send out an order. Obviously, that was an incorrect assumption. Thus, I do not know if all the parties are available on May 13, 2019.

PLEASE RESPOND TO THIS EMAIL IF YOU ARE AVAILABLE TO ATTEND THE TELEPHONE CONFERNECE ON MAY 13 at 2:00pm.

FURTHER, going forward, so as not to give even an appearance of impropriety, the parties are instructed to confer with opposing counsel if the need for an adjournment arises, have possible dates for the call to be rescheduled and ensure no objections to such request exists before the request is sent to me.

I will send out all orders. The parties can, with their request, include a proposed order.

IT IS SO ORDERD

Monique J. Roberts-Draper
Administrative Judge
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
Redacted

Redacted

From: Elaine Wallace *Redacted*
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 6:49 PM
To: M.ROBERTS-EFILEBOX *Redacted* ; *Redacted*

Cc: *Redacted*

Subject: Re: Velva B. (McConnell) vs. USPS

did I miss a call from the AJ that rescheduled this? I do not have any record. Did the AJ speak with the agency only on this matter?

ELAINE W. WALLACE

Law Offices of Elaine W. Wallace

Redacted

On Friday, April 26, 2019, 1:04:36 PM PDT, Leffler, Carol J - Denver, CO wrote:

Redacted

Re: Velva B., et al. vs. USPS (aka McConnell vs. USPS, et al.)
EEOC Hearing No. 520-2010-00280X and 520-2019-00271X
Agency Case No. 4B-140-0062-06

Attached is a service copy of the **NOTICE OF RE-SCHEDULING OF APRIL 29, 2019 STATUS CONFERENCE** that was filed today via FedSEP under the new EEOC Hearing number for the McConnell case: 520-2019-00271X.

Best,

Carol J. Leffler

Paralegal Specialist

Redacted

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.